

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,362	03/30/2004	Christian Mueller	ITC-335US	4981
· 23122	7590 12/05/2005		EXAMINER	
RATNERPR			PATEL, PARESH H	
P O BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2829	

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/813,362	MUELLER, CHRISTIAN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Paresh Patel	2829			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES OF THE MAILING DA	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated the control of t	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju	ıly 2004.				
• =	Γhis action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-84 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)🖂	8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-84</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 110(a)	o-(d) or (f)			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 33 0.3.3. § 119(a)	-(d) or (i).			
a)ر	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
	application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attach	tic)					
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notic	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
· —	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:					
ape	. 110(5/Mail Date	3, <u> </u>				

Application/Control Number: 10/813,362 Page 2

Art Unit: 2829

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-9, drawn to apparatus for supporting a load, classified in class 414, subclass 226.05.
 - II. Claims 10-16, drawn to apparatus for supporting a load, classified in class414, subclass 757.
 - III. Claims 17-24, drawn to apparatus for supporting a load, classified in class414, subclass 779.
 - IV. Claims 25-33, drawn to apparatus for supporting a load, classified in class414, subclass 539.
 - V. Claims 34-42, drawn to method of supporting a load, classified in class 414, subclass 226.05.
 - VI. Claims 43-49, drawn to a method of docking a test heat, classified in class 414, subclass 749.1.
 - VII. Claims 50-60, drawn to a docking mechanism for docking a test head, classified in class 414, subclass 749.1.
 - VIII. Claims 61-70, drawn to a docking module, classified in class 414, subclass 749.3.
 - IX. Claims 71-76, drawn to a method of docking a test heat, classified in class 414, subclass 749.1.

X. Claims 77-84, drawn to a method of docking a test heat, classified in class414, subclass 749.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because combination does not require all the details of the subcombination e.g. rotating load about second axis orthogonal to first axis. The subcombination has separate utility such as by itself or in a different combination.
- 3. Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because combination does not require all the details of the subcombination e.g. vernier arm. The subcombination has separate utility such as by itself or in a different combination.
- 4. Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does

Art Unit: 2829

not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because combination does not require all the details of the subcombination e.g. vernier arm. The subcombination has separate utility such a by itself or in a different combinations.

- 5. Inventions II and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because combination does not require all the details of the subcombination e.g. attachment unit having a flexible element. The subcombination has separate utility such a by itself or in a different combinations.
- 6. Inventions I and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because combination does not require all the details of the subcombination e.g. attachment unit having a flexible element. The subcombination has separate utility such a by itself or in a different combinations.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,362

Page 5

Art Unit: 2829

7. Inventions III and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because combination does not require all the details of the subcombination e.g. attachment unit having a flexible element. The subcombination has separate utility such a by itself or in a different combinations..

- 8. Inventions V and VI are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because combination does not require all the details of the subcombination e.g. step of moving a test head. The subcombination has separate utility such a by itself or in a different combinations.
- 9. Inventions VI and X are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because combination does not require all

Art Unit: 2829

the details of the subcombination e.g. step of actuating driving unit to push the test head. The subcombination has separate utility such a by itself or in a different combinations.

- 10. Inventions VII and VIII are related as combination and subcombination.

 Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because combination does not require all the details of the subcombination e.g. actuator moves a movable feature in response to detection of feature. The subcombination has separate utility such a by itself or in a different combinations.
- 11. Inventions IX and X are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because combination does not require all the details of the subcombination e.g. step of actuating driving unit to push the test head. The subcombination has separate utility such a by itself or in a different combinations.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,362

Art Unit: 2829

12. Inventions I-IV and VII are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable

together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if

Page 7

they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, inventions I-IV (apparatus

supports the load) has separate utility such as claimed, whereas invention VII

(mechanism docks the test head or load) has separate utility such as claimed. See

MPEP § 806.05(d).

13. Inventions I-IV and VIII are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable

together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if

they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, inventions I-IV (apparatus

supports the load) has separate utility such as claimed, whereas invention VIII (docking

module) has separate utility such as claimed. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

14. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have

acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject

matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

15. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paresh Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-1968. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on 571-272-2034. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paresh Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit 2829 Page 8

December 01, 2005